**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# *Phaseolus lunatus* L.: pulse seeds phenotype image analysis

Saulo de Jesus Dantas<sup>D</sup> · Maria Fernanda Oliveira Torres<sup>D</sup> · Renata Silva-Mann<sup>D</sup> · Pablo Forlan Vargas<sup>D</sup>

Received: 6 February 2023 / Accepted: 17 April 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2023

Abstract The locally cultivated creole varieties of *Phaseolus lunatus* are adapted to specific climatic and environmental conditions. Family farmers and local communities preserve and multiply their seeds over generations, promoting genetic diversity, food and nutritional security, and agricultural sustainability. This species has great geno-phenotypic diversity, which can be harnessed in breeding programs if accurately characterized. We evaluated the

Part of Master Science Dissertation of the first author.

S. de Jesus Dantas Post-Graduation Program in Agronomy (Genetics and Plant Breeding), Paulista State University (UNESP), Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil e-mail: saulodantas@live.com

M. F. O. Torres

Post-Graduation Program in Agriculture and Biodiversity, Federal University of Sergipe (UFS), São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil e-mail: nandatorres7@gmail.com

R. Silva-Mann (🖂)

Department of Agronomic Engineering, Federal University of Sergipe (UFS), São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil e-mail: renatamann@academico.ufs.br

R. Silva-Mann Campus Registro, Paulista State University (UNESP), Registro, Brazil

P. F. Vargas Paulista State University (UNESP), Registro, SP, Brazil e-mail: pablo.vargas@unesp.br varieties in three states (Sergipe, Bahia, and Alagoas) using image analysis. We estimated the weight of 100 seeds using a precision analytical balance and obtained morphometric measurements, including area, maximum diameter, and minimum diameter, using Groundeye (TBit®) imaging equipment and software. We also recorded dominant color and RGB color system descriptors. The morphometric variables underwent variance analysis using the F-test, and the means were clustered using the Scott-Knott test at 5% significance level. The data underwent Pearson Correlation Analysis (t-Student at 5%), were grouped based on dissimilarity using the UPGMA method, and were represented in a dendrogram. We also performed Principal Component Analysis on the evaluated characteristics. The dominant color of the seeds was predominantly orange in nine varieties. Morphometry showed a positive and significant association. The dendrogram revealed two homogeneous and distinct groups, and the first two principal components accounted for 86.80% of the genotypic variation. Therefore, high-resolution images for phenotypic characterization of creole lima bean seeds are a promising non-destructive tool for selection purposes.

phenotypic variations of P. lunatus seeds from 13

**Keywords** Lima bean · Genetic diversity · Seed biometry · Germplasm · Genetic breeding

# Introduction

The legume *Phaseolus lunatus*, also known as the lima bean, is an economically significant crop. It is considered the second most important species in the *Phaseolus* genus due to its agronomic characteristics, including grain production for human consumption and its tolerance to unfavorable soil and climatic conditions (Noh et al. 2015). Phylogenetic analysis of *P. lunatus* has shown a close relationship with other bean species, such as *P. vulgaris, Vigna unguiculata*, and *Vigna radiata* (Tian et al. 2021).

The primary method of conservation for lima beans is on-farm preservation by small farmers who store their seeds, thereby conserving genetic diversity. On-farm conservation is an alternative approach for diversifying agriculture, but it requires support from the government and private sectors to promote and enhance agricultural interactions among small-scale farmers (Soares et al. 2022). Fava bean or lima bean is mainly grown in the Northeast region of Brazil, primarily by family farmers due to its ability to adapt to water scarcity, high temperatures, and low-fertility soils (Soares et al. 2022, Li et al. 2015).

Fava, a legume commonly sold at street markets, is an important food source for many communities. However, there is considerable heterogeneity in the characteristics of the grains produced in these communities, which receive different names depending on the region (Felix et al. 2014). The species presents high phenotypic variability regarding growth habit (determined or indeterminate), flower color (white, yellowish-white, pink, purple, and violet, with intermediate tones), and seed integument color (white, gray, yellow, pink, brown, black, among others). Additionally, there are variations in seed shape (rhomboid, round, or reniform), size, weight, and other morphometric characteristics (Lopes et al. 2015).

However, these traits need to be further explored by farmers, as there are few studies on selecting desirable traits in participatory breeding programs. As a result, the materials available for small-scale farmers are typically a varietal mixture, which has low productivity and inconsistent characteristics such as seed integument color and size, making it unsuitable for commercial grain production (Silva et al. 2015).

The genetic diversity of Creole varieties was evaluated at the *Phaseolus* Germplasm Bank of the

Federal University of Piauí (PGB-UFPI, Brazil) using 26 qualitative and 11 quantitative descriptors based on the criteria of Biodiversity International. Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR) molecular markers were also used to determine genetic polymorphism and differentiation. The study revealed significant genotypic and phenotypic diversity among the accessions, particularly for traits such as number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, and weight of 100 seeds (Pires et al. 2021). In another study, the results suggest a recent genetic bottleneck for the genotypes, which may be related to the management and production techniques used by farmers due to the increasing market demand for improved lima bean varieties in recent years (Lustosa-Silva et al. 2022).

Morphometric characterization studies are crucial for selecting economically valuable traits based on consumer market demand. Moreover, using image analysis equipment enhances the precision of evaluated traits, leading to genetic progress in the selection. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the morphometry and seed coat color of *P. lunatus* creole bean seeds using image analysis.

## Material and methods

The seeds used in this study were obtained from three Brazilian states: Sergipe—SE (SE1, SE2, SE3, SE4, SE5, SE6, SE7, and SE8), Bahia—BA (BA9 and BA10), and Alagoas—AL (AL11, AL12, and AL13) and collected from family farmers in Frei Paulo-SE and Pedro Alexandre-BA. The seeds were harvested manually when the pods were ripe and still attached to the plant, then sun-dried for 3 to 5 days and stored in bottles and kept at 8 °C. Thus, it is suggested that the seeds were stored under similar physiological conditions, which did not significantly affect seed color evaluation.

As these are non-commercial materials, farmers cultivate *P. lunatus* varieties as if they were a single variety, without distinctions in the field. Separation of the varieties occurs after harvesting, based on the color of the seed coat and morphometric characteristics such as size and shape. Seeds from the Federal University of Alagoas (UFAL)—Rio Largo-AL were donated and kept in a cold chamber at 8 °C $\pm$ 2 °C. Initially, the weight of 100 seeds (WHS) was determined by weighing 100 seeds of each variety using

a precision scale, following the recommendation of the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute— IPGRI (Carvalho et al. 2006). The objective of this study was to evaluate the morphometry and staining of the tegument of *P. lunatus* creole bean seeds by image analysis, which is an essential strategy for selecting economically relevant traits based on consumer market demand. Using image analysis equipment results in greater accuracy of the evaluated characteristics, allowing for more significant genetic progress in the selection process.

The morphometric analysis used four replicates of 25 seeds per variety and was performed using Groundeye (TBit®) equipment, which was calibrated prior to image capture. From the captured images, area (mm<sup>2</sup>), maximum diameter (mm), and minimum diameter (mm) variables were obtained using software for a detailed classification of seed color. Dominant color and RGB color system descriptors (red, green, and blue) were also recorded.

The morphometric data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity. Treatment significance and means were assessed using the F-test, and means were clustered using the Scott-Knott test (5% level). The degree of dependence among morphometric characteristics was evaluated using Pearson's correlation with a t-test at a 5% probability level. Unweighted Pair Group Method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA) was used to assess the dissimilarities among all varieties. The resulting distinctions were clustered using a dendrogram, and a column chart was plotted using Excel software® to display the dominant color and RGB system.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was conducted using the descriptors of area (mm<sup>2</sup>), maximum diameter (mm), minimum diameter (mm), and RGB color, with z-score standardization (Regazzi 2000, Manly 2008). Statistical analyses were carried out using GENES® software (Cruz 2013) and R® version 4.1.3.

## **Results and discussion**

The high-resolution images obtained using the Groundeye equipment (TBit®) are shown in Fig. 1.

The image capture enabled visual verification of the high phenotypic variation in the tegument color among the varieties. SE6 showed small black spots on the seeds, indicating variable expressivity and incomplete penetrance.

Based on the analysis performed by the Groundeye equipment (TBit®), Fig. 2, the seeds from SE2, SE3, SE5, SE6, SE7, SE8, BA9, AL12, and AL13 presented a predominance of orange color, while SE1 and BA10 had a predominance of yellow color. AL13 presented black seeds, and SE4 presented red seeds. The camera allowed us to capture the predominant color of the seeds of each variety, which, in most cases, was distinct from the color observed by the human eye. The difference between the human eye and Groundeye is that the equipment uses complex algorithms to differentiate colors, which the human eye cannot make this distinction.

The use of image analysis in seed phenotyping has enabled the conversion of qualitative characteristics into quantitative ones. This transformation provides valuable information for the characterization, registration, and identification of variations (Lopes et al. 2010), eliminating the subjectivity of color





determination. Additionally, this technique facilitates the quantification of phenomena such as gene expression, based on qualitative characteristics like color, by assigning numerical values to them.

The color of lima bean seeds is directly linked to their commercialization, with a preference for white seeds for cooking and size, that exhibit a yellow dominance in Groundeye. Color also plays a crucial role in the empirical selection of seed varieties by farmers (Soares et al. 2022). In *Phaseolus* genus most of the yellow and white colored genotypes were faster cooking and had higher Fe bioavailability when compared to the dark red kidney and red mottled accessions (Katuuramu et al. 2020).

Fava seeds come in a wide variety of colors, ranging from white and brown to gray, yellow, pink, red, purple, black, stained, and mottled (Moraes et al. 2017). This qualitative characteristic holds great economic importance since it is associated with the acceptance of the grains by consumers. People tend to associate lighter coloration with a less bitter taste, as well as recent harvest, making it easier to cook (Lopes et al. 2010, 2015).

Hence, it is vital to explore diverse strategies that aid in the conservation of creole broad varieties (Soares et al. 2022) and implement initiatives that guide farmers towards producing seeds that can be marketed effectively.

Using image analysis, it can be suggested that the dominant colors with a combined sum of yellow and orange should exceed 90% when aiming to obtain large seeds with a light seed coat, which are more desirable for cooking.

The RGB color system software facilitates accurate classification of seed color based on the red (R), green (G), and blue (B) components, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

The R, G, and B components correspond to the intensity of red, green, and blue light, respectively, with values ranging from 0 to 255 (Tsuchiyama and Matsushima 2017). Figure 3 illustrates that G1 exhibited the highest values of RGB, whereas G11 had the lowest values, with corresponding values of 200.82, 147.193, 193.26, 45.77, 30.25, and 40.86, respectively.

A value of 0 represents darkness, while the maximum value indicates high intensity or clarity. If all color components have a minimum value, the resulting color is black. Conversely, if all components have a maximum value, the color is white. When all values are similar or close, the resulting tone is gray. However, if the values tend to diverge, the resulting tone becomes increasingly vivid and less subdued.

SE1 and BA10, with dominant yellow color, had RGB values close to white, while AL13 and AL11, with dominant red and black color, approached black tones. BA9, AL12, and AL13 exhibited similar RGB values, resulting in a gray color for the seed coat. Despite SE3 and SE7 appearing gray visually, they displayed significant variations in RGB values due to stains on the seed coat.

Using the RGB color system, the seeds were categorized as either light or dark colored, with SE1, SE3, SE5, SE7, SE8, BA9, BA10, AL12, and AL13 classified as having light-colored seed coats, while SE2, SE4, SE6, and AL11 exhibited predominantly dark colors (Sunoj et al. 2018). Light-colored seed





coat varieties were more prevalent, which could be attributed to the farmers' selection process, as the consumer market tends to favor materials with lighter coloration. Consequently, varieties with dark seed coats were eliminated (Soares et al. 2022). Statistically significant differences (p < 0.01) were observed for the morphometric variables AR and WHS among the 13 seed varieties (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1, the mean area values for the 13 seed varieties ranged from 93.65 mm<sup>2</sup> (SE7) to 63.87 mm<sup>2</sup> (AL13), with SE7 exhibiting the highest values. The mean values for the maximum diameter ranged from 12.69 mm (SE7) to 10.42 mm (AL11),

whereas the smallest diameter values varied between 9.23 mm (SE7) and 7.46 mm (AL13), with no significant differences observed for either variable. The WHS values ranged from 45.33 g (SE1) to 29.55 g (BA10).

The significant difference in seed weight is a distinctive characteristic of this species and is commonly reported in the literature (Felix et al. 2014). This variable is regarded as one of the most important quantitative characteristics for analyzing genetic divergence in studies conducted with this species, ranging from 70% (Meza et al. 2012) to 97.32% (Lopes et al. 2010).

Table 1Mean values and coefficient of variation of morphometric variables of <i>Phaseolus lunatus</i> L.AR—area seeds; D.Max— maximum diameter; D.Min—minimum diameter; WHS—the weight of 100 seeds	Provenance	Variety	AR (mm <sup>2</sup> )	D.Max.(mm)	D.Min.(mm)	WHS (g)
	Sergipe	SE1	86.88c	12.03a	8.36a	45.33a
		SE2	65.71 g	10.43a	7.65a	29.77f
		SE3	71.01f	11.37a	7.68a	34.14d
		SE4	79.71e	11.56a	8.51a	40.74b
		SE5	71.06f	10.88a	7.95a	31.63e
		SE6	72.38f	11.09a	7.94a	31.96e
		SE7	93.65a	12.69a	9.23a	40.67b
		SE8	89.09b	12.08a	8.75a	41.59b
	Bahia	BA9	81.89d	12.31a	8.26a	40.74b
		BA10	71.46f	11.20a	7.79a	29.55f
	Alagoas	AL11	66.33 g	10.42a	7.78a	38.73c
*Followed averages of the same letter do not differ statistically from each other in the column by the Scott- Knott test at 5% probability	-	AL12	80.22e	11.45a	8.75a	33.95d
		AL13	63.87 h	10.58a	7.46a	33.60d
		Mean	76.41	11.39	8.16	36.34
		CV (%)	2.67	1.70	2.22	1.40

Variety AL13 exhibited the lowest mean values for most parameters, suggesting that it mainly comprises smaller seeds. Conversely, SE7 had the highest values, indicating a predominance of seeds with larger dimensions.

In a genetic study that included 300 *P. lunatus* accessions from various regions of Honduras, provided by farmers, Meza et al. (2012) reported substantial diversity in variety and seed dimensions. Other studies have also documented high variability in seed size, color, and shape, such as the studies of Guimarães et al. (2007) and Li et al. (2010).

The simple correlation analysis (Pearson) revealed a positive and significant association among all morphometric variables (Fig. 4).

Correlations among agronomic traits are of significant interest for breeding programs as they facilitate the assessment of the impact of one trait on another, enabling indirect selection. In the case of simple correlation, the evaluation is based on the degree of hetween two numerical variables one

Genet Resour Crop Evol

association between two numerical variables, one dependent (Yi) and one independent (Xi) .

The results (Fig. 4) indicate that there is potential for indirect selection of the weight of 100 seeds (WHS) by evaluating AR, D.Max, and D.Min, irrespective of the *P. lunatus* variety. The findings reveal a strong  $(0.6 < r \le 0.9)$  to very strong (0.9 < r < 1) linear correlation (Callegari-Jacques 2009). This phenomenon may be linked to pleiotropy or gene linkage, whereby a gene influences two or more traits. In this case, the effect is positive since some genes promote the increase of both traits (Falconer and Mackay 1996).

From a genetic breeding perspective, the positive correlation of the traits AR, D.Max, D.Min, and WHS suggests that the focus of selection should be on a single trait to enhance both. This approach helps reduce the number of traits to be evaluated, thereby optimizing the breeder's work and time (Nogueira et al. 2012).



Fig. 5 Dissimilarity dendrogram among varieties (G) of *Phaseolus lunatus* L., based on the Euclidean distance and clustered by UPGMA



The dissimilarity dendrogram, based on morphometric characteristics, comprised two groups of accessions according to the Mojena method (Mojena 1977) with k = 1.25 (Fig. 5).

Group A comprises seven varieties (SE2, SE3, SE5, SE6, BA10, AL11, and AL13), while Group B comprises six varieties (SE1, SE4, SE7, SE8, BA9, and AL12). The two groups account for 79.97% of genetic divergence among genotypes, with 25.6%.

Group B is the most divergent and significant group regarding the dissimilarity of the accessions, as it contains the varieties with higher means of AR, D.Max, D.Min, and WHS. The observed divergences among the *P. lunatus* varieties are substantial, indicating a strong genetic diversity among the accessions. Utilizing these materials in plant breeding programs to obtain materials with the desired characteristics for the consumer market is crucial.

Dissimilarity measures are of great importance in studies of genetic diversity, especially when identifying genotypes for hybridization programs. This technique enables the identification of homogeneous groups through a scheme that groups the varieties with the aim of achieving high homogeneity within groups and heterogeneity among them (Cruz et al. 2011).

**Table 2** Values of the correlation coefficients with the two main components (CPs), auto value, total variance, accumulated auto values, and total accumulated in percentage (%) by the components, of the thirteen varieties of *Phaseolus lunatus* L., from the morphological and colorful characteristics

Variable	CP 1	CP 2
AR	0.92	-0.36
D.Max	0.95	-0.20
D.Min	0.74	-0.58
WHS	0.67	-0.50
R	0.65	0.72
G	0.69	0.60
В	0.67	0.60
Autovalues	4.09	1.99
Total variance (%)	58.41	28.39
Accumulated autovalues	4.09	6.08
Total accumulated (%)	58.41	86.80

Table 2 presents the correlation coefficients with the two Principal Components (PC), eigenvalues, total variance, cumulative eigenvalues, cumulative total, and associated eigenvectors for each principal component in the Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The variable that had the most substantial impact on the PC1 was AR (0.92), whereas for PC2, it was R (0.72).

The contribution of each principal component can be assessed by analyzing the proportion of the total variance explained by that component (Regazzi 2000). In this study, the first two principal components (PCs) accounted for 86.80% of the total variation in the morphological and staining variables of the different *P. lunatus* seed groups. As a result, these two PCs effectively summarize the total sample variance and can be utilized to analyze the dataset.

The outcome aligns with the criteria proposed by Rencher (2002), which stipulate that the first and second principal components should account for at least 70% of the total variance. In this study, PC1 accounted for 58.41%, and PC2 accounted for 28.39% of the variation in the data. Morphological characteristic variables demonstrated a strong correlation with PC1, while those associated with the RGB color system exhibited a robust correlation with PC2 (Callegari-Jacques 2009).

In a study with 47 cowpea varieties (*Vigna unguic-ulata* L.) from different origins, divergence was analyzed by combining various characteristics, such as the weight of 100 seeds, grain shape, and grain color, among others, using three principal components to account for 80.32% of the total variance. Implementing this tool enabled the identification of 13 varieties with high characteristics in production, precocity, and grain quality (Bertini et al. 2010).

Figure 6 shows that the positive correlations position varieties SE1, SE3, SE7, SE8, BA9, and AL12 to the right of CP1, while the negative correlation positions varieties SE2, SE4, SE5, SE6, BA10, AL11, and AL13 to the left of CP1. Regarding CP2, varieties with positive correlation are located at the top (SE1, SE2, SE3, SE5, BA9, BA10, and AL13), while varieties with negative correlation are present at the bottom (SE4, SE6, SE7, SE8, BA9, AL11, and AL12).

Based on the biplot graph, the variables associated with morphological and RGB characteristics are situated to the right of CP1. Varieties SE1, SE3, SE7, G8, BA9, and AL12 (CP1) possess the potential for higher morphometric values, more vivid hues, and lighter colors. Concerning CP2, varieties SE1, SE2,

**Fig. 6** Biplot with the projection of the variables of the first two principal components of the 13 varieties of *Phaseolus lunatus* L., obtained by the Method of Principal Component Analysis, from the morphological characteristics and color



SE3, SE5, BA9, BA10, and AL13 display higher values for RGB and more vibrant tones.

Principal Component Analysis has been widely employed in the characterization and selection of common bean varieties (*P. vulgaris*) (Arevalo et al. 2020; Brito et al. 2020; Domingues et al. 2013) and has also been adopted in lima bean cultivation (Silva et al. 2019). This technique contributes to the identification of desirable characteristics and has been analyzed in previous studies that demonstrate its contribution to variability (Carbonari 2021, Silva et al. 2019). Therefore, it is possible to eliminate variables with low contributions in discriminating the studied genotypes, which is crucial for reducing costs, time, and labor in breeding programs (Cruz et al. 2013).

Several factors must be taken into account for a successful breeding program. In the case of *P. luna-tus*, the consumer market is of utmost importance because for a variety to be considered interesting, it must have large seeds with lighter coloration. In the northeast region of Brazil, consumers prefer brown and white materials (Soares et al. 2022), making it necessary to consider market demands when selecting and breeding new varieties.

Based on the results of the principal component analysis, it can be inferred that varieties SE1, SE3, and BA9 have desirable morphological and visual characteristics, such as large seeds and light teguments, that meet the demands of consumers. These varieties can be utilized in plant breeding programs to develop new materials and have improved agronomic traits.

With high and accurate discriminatory power, Groundeye image analysis based on computer vision is an important method for obtaining data on seed coat color, as well as other important morphological traits for the classification of bean landraces such as height and width of the seed sample. The entire process can also be automated, allowing for the analysis of a large number of samples in a short amount of time (Varga et al. 2019).

## Conclusion

The utilization of high-resolution images to assess the morphological characteristics and color of creole *P*. *lunatus* seed tegument has shown to be a promising

approach for analyzing phenotypic traits. The multivariate analysis of the data obtained through image processing has allowed us to infer that the seeds of SE1, SE3, and BA9 varieties possess morphological and visual features, such as lighter colors and larger sizes, that meet the necessary criteria for use and selection in plant breeding programs.

Therefore, using image analysis, it can be suggested that the dominant colors with a sum of yellow and orange should be greater than 90% when aiming to obtain large seeds with a light seed coat, which are more desirable for cooking.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel – Brazil (CAPES-001). We also thank the Federal University of Sergipe and the Research Group on Conservation, Breeding, and Management of Genetic Resources (GENAPLANT) for their valuable contribution to this study.

**Author's contributions** SJD conducted the research and wrote the manuscript, MFOT contributed to data acquisition. RSM and PFV supervised the research, revised the manuscript, and contributed to writing, translating, and revising the text. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**Funding** Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel – Brazil (CAPES-001).

**Data availability** The datasets produced and/or analyzed during the current study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors of this manuscript declare no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical approval** This article does not report any studies involving human participants or animals conducted by any of the authors.

#### References

- Arevalo ACM, Cardoso DL, Kraeski MJ, Santana DC, Arguelho SBD (2020) Genetic parameters, correlations and main components for agronomic characters in carioca group common bean genotypes. Res Soc Develop 9:1–21. https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v9i11.9831
- Brito MVD, Silva VBD, Matos Filho CHA, Ferreira-Gomes RL, Lopes ÂCDA (2020) Univariate and multivariate approaches in the characterization of lima bean genotypes.

Revista Caatinga 33:571–578. https://doi.org/10.1590/ 1983-21252020v33n230rc

- Bertini CHCDM, Almeida WSD, Silva APMD, Silva JWL, Teófilo EM (2010) Análise multivariada e índice de seleção na identificação de genótipos superiores de feijãocaupi. Acta Sci Agron 32:613–619. https://doi.org/10. 4025/actasciagron.v32i4.4631
- Carvalho MPR (2006) Diversity and genetic erosion of traditional varieties of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L. and Phaseolus lunatus L.) in Brazil. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 53(1): 21–31
- Carbonari LTS, Cerutti PH, Schwarzer PA, Muniz AT, Rodrigues AR (2021) Associação entre características de qualidade de sementes e rendimento de grãos em cultivares de feijão. Nativa 9:209–214. https://doi.org/10. 31413/nativa.v9i2.11486
- Cruz CD (2013) GENES a software package for analysis in experimental statistics and quantitative genetics. Acta Scientiarum 35:271–276. https://doi.org/10.4025/actas ciagron.v35i3.21251
- Cruz CD, Ferreira FM, Pessoni LA (2011) Biometria aplicada aoestudo da diversidade genética. Visconde do Rio Branco: Suprema, 620p. https://www.researchgate.net/ profile/Fabio-Ferreira-18/publication/288608812\_Diver sidade\_genetica\_baseada\_em\_informacoes\_fenotipicas/ links/631188ce5eed5e4bd13bfd8e/Diversidade-genet ica-baseada-em-informacoes-fenotipicas.pdf
- Callegari-Jacques SM (2009) Bioestatística: princípios e aplicações. Artmed Editora.
- Domingues LS, Ribeiro ND, Minetto C, Souza JF, Antunes IF (2013) Metodologias de análise de adaptabilidade e de estabilidade para a identificação de linhagens de feijão promissoras para o cultivo no Rio Grande do Sul. Semina: Ciências Agrárias 34:1065–1076. https://doi.org/10.5433/ 1679-0359.2013v34n3p1065
- Fernandez-Rodriguez J, Moser F, Song M, Voigt CA (2017) Engineering RGB color vision into Escherichia coli. Nat Chem Biol 13:706–708. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem bio.2390
- Felix DT, Coello JC, Castillo JM (2014) Wild to crop introgression and genetic diversity in Lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) in traditional Mayan milpas from Mexico. Conserv Genet 15:1315–1328. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10592-014-0619-7
- Falconer DS, Mackay TFC (1996) Introduction to quantitative genetics. 4.ed. Edinburgh: Longman Group Limited.
- Guimarães WN, Martins LS, Silva EFD, Ferraz GDM, Oliveira FJD (2007) Caracterização morfológica e molecular de acessos de feijão-fava (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.). Revista Brasileira De Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental 11:37–45. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662 007000100005
- Katuuramu DN, Luyima GB, Nkalubo ST, Wiesinger JA, Kelly JD, Cichy KA (2020) On-farm multi-location evaluation of genotype by environment interactions for seed yield and cooking time in common bean. Sci Rep 10(1):3628. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-60087-2
- Li F, Cao D, Liu Y, Yang T, Wang G (2015) Transcriptome sequencing of lima bean (Phaseolus lunatus) to identify putative positive selection in Phaseolus and legumes.

International Journal of Molecular Sciences 16(7): 15172–15187

- Lopes ACA, Gomes RLF, Araujo ASF (2015) *Phaseolus lunatus*: Diversity, growth and production. Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge NY, p 163
- Lopes ACA, Gomes RLF, Araújo ASF (2010) A cultura do feijão-fava no Meio-Norte do Brasil. Teresina: EDUFPI
- Lustosa-Silva JD, Ferreira-Gomes RL, Martinez-Castillo J, Carvalho LCB, de Oliveira LF, Ortiz-García MM, Lopes ÂCDA (2022) Genetic diversity and erosion in lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) in Northeast Brazil. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 69(8):2819–2832. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10722-022-01402-w
- Manly BF (2008) Statistics for Environmental Science and Management. Chapman and Hall/CRC. Chapter 8: Principal Component Analysis
- Moraes CS, Dias TAB, Costa S, Vieira RDC, Noronha SE, Burle M (2017) Catálogo de fava (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) conservada na Embrapa. Brasília, DF: Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, 46 p. Evaluable in https:// www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infoteca/handle/doc/ 1074983. Acessed: 01/04/2023.
- Meza N, Rosas JC, Martín JP, Ortiz JM (2013) Biodiversity of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Honduras, evidenced by morphological characterization. Genet Resour Crop Evol 60:1329–1336. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10722-012-9922-7
- Mojena R (1977) Hierárquical grouping method and stopping rules: an evaluation. Comput J 20:359–363. https://doi. org/10.1093/comjnl/20.4.359
- Noh RHA, Castillo JM, Sánchez MIC (2015) Domestication of small-seeded lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) landraces in Mesoamerica: evidence from microsatellite markers. Genetica 143:657–669. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10709-015-9863-0
- Fabiano PA, Neto FA, Barros WS, Almeida FA, Pacheco LP, Lima LB, Gravina GA (2014) Phenotypic correlations and path analysis of soybean production components in the Brazilian cerrado of Piauí state. Revista De Ciências Agrárias 57:273–279. https://doi.org/10.4322/rca.ao1412
- Nogueira APO, Sediyama T, Sousa LBD, Hamawaki OT, Cruz CD, Pereira DG, Matsuo É (2012) Análise de trilha e correlações entre caracteres em soja cultivada em duas épocas de semeadura. Bioscience Journal 28:877–888. https://seer.ufu.br/index.php/biosciencejournal/article/ view/14576/11096
- Pires CJ, Costa MF, Zucchi MI, Ferreira-Gomes RL, Pinheiro JB, Viana JPG, Almeida LÂC (2022) Genetic diversity in accessions of lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) determined from agro-morphological descriptors and SSR markers for use in breeding programs in Brazil. Genet Resour Crop Evol 69:973–986. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10722-021-01272-8
- Soares LADC, Silva JDL, Silva VB, Ferreira CS, Sousa AMDCB, Ferreira Costa M, Gomes RLF (2022) Onfarm conservation in *Phaseolus lunatus* L: an alternative for agricultural biodiversity. Agroecol Sustain Food Syst 46:392–409. https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2021. 2016545
- Silva SIA, Souza T, Santos D, Souza RFS (2019) Avaliação dos componentes de produção em variedades crioulas de

fava cultivadas no Agreste da Paraíba. Revista de Ciências Agrárias 42:731–742. https://doi.org/10.19084/rca.16956

- Sunoj S, Igathinathane C, Saliendra N, Hendrickson J, Archer D (2018) Color calibration of digital images for agriculture and other applications. ISPRS J Photogramm Remote Sens 146:221–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs. 2018.09.015
- Silva VB, Gomes RLF, Lopes ÂCA, Dias CTS, Silva RNO (2015) Genetic diversity and promising crosses indication in lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*) accessions. Semina: Ciências Agrárias 36:583–692. https://doi.org/10.5433/ 1679-0359.2015v36n2p683
- Rencher AC (2002) Methods of multivariate analysis. 2.ed. New York: Wiley Interscience. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 07408170500232784
- Regazzi AJ (2000) Análise multivariada, notas de aula INF 766. Departamento de Informática da Universidade Federal de Viçosa. 2.
- Tian S, Lu P, Zhang Z, Wu JQ, Zhang H, Shen H (2021) Chloroplast genome sequence of Chongming lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) and comparative analyses with other legume chloroplast genomes. BMC Genom 22:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07467-8

- Tsuchiyama Y, Matsushima K (2017) Full-color large-scaled computer-generated holograms using RGB color filters. Opt Exp 25:2016–2030. https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.002016
- Varga F, Vidak M, Ivanović K, Lazarević B, Širić I, Srečec S, Carović Stanko K (2019) How does Computer vision compare to standard colorimeter in assessing the seed coat color of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)?. J Central Eur Agric 20(4):1169–1178. https://doi.org/10.5513/ JCEA01/20.4.2509

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.