NAT2, XRCC1 and hOGG1 Polymorphisms, Cigarette Smoking, Alcohol Consumption and Risk of Upper Aerodigestive Tract Cancer

CINTIA RODRIGUES MARQUES¹, THIAGO MAGALHÃES DA SILVA², DULCINEIA MARTINS DE ALBUQUERQUE³, MEIRYANE SANTOS CHAVES¹, MARCILIO FERREIRA MARQUES FILHO¹, JAMILLE SILVA OLIVEIRA¹, GIULIANO DI PIETRO⁴, SANDRA MARA BISPO SOUSA⁵, AGUINALDO LUIZ SIMÕES⁶ and FABRÍCIO RIOS-SANTOS⁷

 ¹Laboratory of Pharmacogenomics and Genetic Epidemiology (LAFEM), Department of Health, State University of Santa Cruz (UESC), Ilhéus, Brazil;
²Laboratory of Human Genetics, Department of Biology, State University of Southwest Bahia (UESB), Jequié, Brazil;
³Hematology and Hemotherapy Center, University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil;
⁴Laboratory of Genetics, Federal University of Sergipe (UFS), Lagarto, Brazil;
⁵Department of Natural Sciences, State University of São Paulo, Department of Genetics, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil;

⁷Laboratory of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Mato Grosso (UFMT), Cuiabá, Brazil

Abstract. Aim: To evaluate associations between polymorphisms of the N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2), human 8oxoguanine glycosylase 1 (hOGG1) and X-ray repair crosscomplementing protein 1 (XRCC1) genes and risk of upper aerodigestive tract (UADT) cancer. Patients and Methods: A case-control study involving 117 cases and 224 controls was undertaken. The NAT2 gene polymorphisms were genotyped by automated sequencing and XRCC1 Arg399Gln and hOGG1 Ser326Cvs polymorphisms were determined by Polymerase Chain Reaction followed by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) methods. Results: Slow metabolization phenotype was significantly associated as a risk factor for the development of UADT cancer (p=0.038). Furthermore, haplotype of slow metabolization was also associated with UADT cancer (p=0.014). The hOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism (CG or GG vs. CC genotypes) was shown as a protective factor against UADT cancer in moderate smokers (p=0.031). The XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism (GA or AA vs. GG genotypes), in turn, was a protective factor against UADT cancer only among never-

Correspondence to: Msc. Thiago Magalhães da Silva, Dept. of Biology, State University of Southwest Bahia (UESB), R. José Moreira Sobrinho, s/n., Jequiezinho, Jequié, Brazil – ZIP Code: 45.206 – 190, Brazil. Tel: +55 7335289744, e-mail: thiago@uesb.edu.br

Key Words: UADT cancer, DNA repair, genetic epidemiology, smoking, alcohol drinking.

drinkers (p=0.048). Conclusion: Interactions involving NAT2, XRCC1 Arg399Gln and hOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphisms may modulate the risk of UADT cancer in this population.

Upper aerodigestive tract (UADT) cancer corresponds to tumors of the oral cavity, larynx and pharynx. These tumors represent the eighth most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide, accounting for 5% of all new diagnosed cancers (1). The main risk factors associated with UADT are smoking and alcohol consumption (2, 3). Polymorphisms that affect the function of genes involved in activation and de-toxification of carcinogenic compounds, bioactivation of pro-carcinogens and which repair DNA damage can influence the risk of cancer (4). Genetic association studies have demonstrated a relationship between polymorphisms in N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2), X-ray repair crosscomplementing protein 1 (XRCC1) and human 8-oxoguanine glycosylase 1 (hOGGI) genes and risk for various types of cancer such as bladder, colorectal, breast, prostate, pancreatic and lung (5-7). NAT2 is a key phase II enzyme that participates in the bioconversion of aromatic and heterocyclic amines present in, for example, cigarette smoke (8). According to the activity and stability determined by DNA sequence variations, the NAT2 gene may be classified into slow, intermediate or rapid alleles (9). Previous studies demonstrated that different rates of acetylation may be associated with various tobacco-associated cancers (8, 10). The DNA repair enzyme XRCC1 plays a central role in the base excision repair pathway (11). The XRCC1 Arg399Gln

polymorphism (exon 10, base G > A, amino acid Arg > Gln, rs25487) results in a non-conservative amino acid change and may alter XRCC1 function (12). In turn, the human hOGG1 gene, an important multifunctional gene involved in BER, plays a role in repairing damaged DNA (13). The most extensively studied Ser326Cys polymorphism (rs1052133) is located in exon 7 of hOGG1, and results in a substitution of serine (Ser) to cysteine (Cys) at codon 326, which has been reported to affect hOGG1 function (14). The characterization of mutations and genetic polymorphisms may be useful in determining individual and population susceptibility to cancer, leading to new opportunities for prevention, early diagnosis and genetic counseling. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the association of polymorphisms in NAT2, XRCC1 and hOGG1 genes with the risk of development of UADT cancer.

Patients and Methods

Participants. The cases (n=117) were recruited at the High Complexity Oncology Center at Itabuna and at the Oncology Clinic at Ilhéus, both cities located in the state of Bahia, Brazil, from February 2008 to August 2009. Healthy population-based controls (n=224) were recruited in the same region of origin of cases, matched for age, sex and self-reported skin color. Demographic information on lifestyle and dietary habits were obtained from a socioeconomic questionnaire answered by both groups. Those who reported consuming at least one cigarette per day for a minimum period of one year were reported as smokers. Pack-year calculations were performed by multiplying the number of packs of cigarettes smoked per day by the total exposure time in years. According to this criterion, cases and controls were categorized as never-smokers (pack-years=0), moderate-smokers (≤20 pack-years), and heavysmokers (>20 pack-years). Regarding alcohol intake, individuals who reported drinking alcohol at a frequency of twice week or more, for a minimum of one year, were considered drinkers. From each participant enrolled in the study approximately 3 ml of peripheral blood was collected during therapy for DNA extraction. The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of participating institutions (protocol number 134/07).

DNA Extraction and polymorphisms identification. Peripheral blood (3 ml) was collected from each participant and subjected to DNA extraction. Polymorphisms XRCC1 Arg399Gln and hOGG1 Ser326Cys were analyzed using Polymerase Chain Reaction followed by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) as described previously (15). Fourteen NAT2 gene single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed by sequencing: C190T, G191A, C282T, T341C, G363A, A411T, A434C, C481T, G499A, G590A, C759T, A803G, G857A and A845C. The NAT2 PCR reaction was carried out with the primers NAT2_1F (5'AAAAGGGATTCA TGCAGTAGA3') and NAT2_1R (5'AAA TAACGTGAGGGTA GAGAGG3'). The PCR product (1141 bp) was sequenced in ABI3500 equipment (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with the same PCR primers and additionally with two internal primers, NAT2_1seq (5'GTTAACA AATACAGCACTGGCA3') and NAT2_2seq (5'TGCC AGTGC TGTATTTGTTAAC3'), for full coverage of the fragment.

Eight ancestry informative markers (AIMs) were genotyped to correct possible effect of population stratification between cases and controls (SB, APO, AT3, PV92, LPL, MID93, MID52, MID575 (16).

Statistical analysis. To assess differences in genotypic and allelic frequencies between cases and controls, homogeneity tests using statistic γ^2 were performed. Fisher's exact test was applied for the same purpose when the expected value for a cell of the contingency table was less than 5. Odds ratios (ORs) with confidence intervals of 95% (95% CI) were calculated to estimate the risk of UADT cancer associated with different genetic and lifestyle factors evaluated. A conditional forward logistic regression, with a 0.05 probability of inclusion and a 0.10 probability of exclusion in the model, was applied to assess the risk of UADT cancer attributed to polymorphisms of NAT, XRCC1 and hOGG1 after adjusting for sex, age, smoking, drinking and the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) of ancestry informative markers (AIMs). Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed using SNPRelate package of the free software R (17). The gametics phases binding SNPs and NAT2 haplotype frequencies were estimated using a pseudo-Bayesian approach (Excoffier-Laval-Balding - ELB algorithm) and expectation maximization (EM) algorithm, respectively, through the software Arlequin version 3.11 (18). The genotypic frequencies recorded for NAT2, XRCC1 and hOGG1 were tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium among cases and controls. Analysis of gene-environment interaction were conducted from stratified variables (genotype × smoking) that were introduced in logistic regression models with other selected variables. To test the significance of observed interactions, we applied a likelihood ratio test using the χ^2 distribution. All statistical analyzes were performed with statistical package SPSS version 10.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) adopting a significance level of 5% (α =0.05).

Results

The general characteristics of cases and controls are described in Table I. There were no significant differences between the groups with regard to sex, age and self-reported skin color. Individuals classified as ever drinkers had a 4.14-fold (95% CI 2.47-6.92, p<0.001) higher risk of developing UADT cancer compared to never drinkers. Smoking was also significantly associated with this type of cancer, with a 17.67-fold (95% CI 7.45-41.90, p<0.001) increase in the risk of disease observed among ever smokers. The number of cigarettes consumed was also significantly associated with UADT cancer, with a risk of 10.26 (95% CI 4.06-25.96, p<0.001) for individuals who reported consuming up to 20 pack-years and a risk of 21.24 (95% CI 8.47-53.23, p<0.001) for individuals who reported consuming more than 20 pack-years.

With respect to the anatomical site of the primary tumor, there was a predominance of tumors of the oropharynx (32.8%), followed by the larynx (31.9%) and oral cavity (25.0%); hypopharyngeal tumors corresponded to 8.6%, while nasopharyngeal tumor was observed for a single case (1%). For one case (1%), the primary anatomical tumor site could not be determined. None of the patients had distant metastases.

| Variable | Cases (n=117) | Controls (n=224) | OR (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -Value |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Gender n (%) | | | | 0.373 |
| Male | 101 (86.3) | 185 (82.6) | _ | |
| Female | 16 (13.7) | 39 (17.4) | | |
| Age mean (SD) | 60.9 (12.44) | 69.6 (11.30) | _ | 0.341 |
| Skin color n (%) | | | | |
| White | 17 (14.5) | 36 (16.1) | _ | 0.709 |
| No White | 100 (85.5) | 188 (83.9) | | |
| Drinkers n (%) | | | | |
| Never | 27 (23.1) | 108 (55.4) | Ref. | |
| Ever | 90 (76.9) | 87 (44.6) | 4.14 (2.47-6.92) | < 0.001 |
| Smokers n (%) | | | | |
| Never | 6 (5.1) | 106 (51.2) | Ref. | |
| Ever | 111 (94.9) | 111 (57.8) | 17.67 (7.45-41.90) | < 0.001 |
| Pack-years | | | | |
| 0 | 6 (6.2) | 95 (50.0) | Ref. | |
| <20 | 35 (36.5) | 54 (28.4) | 10.26 (4.06-25.96) | < 0.001 |
| >20 | 55 (57.3) | 41 (21.6) | 21.24 (8.47-53.23) | < 0.001 |
| Primary sites n (%) | | | | |
| Oral cavity | 29 (25.0) | | | |
| Oropharynx | 38 (32.8) | | | |
| Hipofaringe | 10 (8.6) | | | |
| Nasopharynx | 1 (0.9) | | | |
| Larynx | 37 (31.9) | | | |
| Ocult primary | 1 (0.9) | | | |
| Stage (UICC) n (%) | | | | |
| I + II | 11 (10.9) | | | |
| III + IV | 80 (89.1) | | | |

Table I. General characteristics of cases and controls.

OR : Odds ratio

Regarding stage, most patients (89.1%) were diagnosed with non-metastatic locally-advanced disease (stages II and IV), while only 10.9% had a diagnosis of early-stage disease (stage I and II).

Table II presents the results of bivariate and multivariate logistic regression involving different risk variables for UADT cancer. As described in the table, associations between *XRCC1* Arg399Gln and *hOGG1* Ser326Cys polymorphisms with UADT cancer were statistically non-significant in both crude and adjusted analysis. For the *NAT2* gene, in turn, although the slow acetylator phenotype was not associated as a risk factor for UADT cancer in unadjusted analysis (crude OR=1.60, 95% CI 0.95-2.69; p=0.076), in multivariate analysis, this phenotype was positively associated with UADT cancer (adjusted OR=1.92, 95% CI 1.03-3.57, p=0.038). Furthermore, by analyzing the distribution of different NAT2 haplotypes between cases and controls we observed significant differences for NAT2*5D allele (8.3% and 3.1% in cases and controls, respectively, p=0.014), which confers a slow acetylation profile (data not shown).

In Table III interaction analysis between *XRCC1* Arg399Gln, *hOGG1* Ser326Cys, *NAT2* acetylation phenotypes and smoking for UADT cancer risk is described. In multivariate analysis, *NAT2* slow acetylation phenotype was a risk factor for UADT cancer only among moderate smokers (adjusted OR=3.70, 95% CI 1.12-12.19, p=0.032). Assuming a dominant genetic model, carrying at least one C allele for *hOGG1* Ser326Cys was protective against developing UADT among moderate smokers (OR=0.35, 95% CI 0.12-0.99, p=0.044), but not heavy smokers and never smokers. This result was confirmed after adjustment for other variables in the multivariate logistic regression model (OR=0.31, 95% CI 0.11-0.89, p=0.031).

Interaction analysis of *XRCC1* Arg399Gln, *hOGG1* Ser326Cys and *NAT2* acetylation phenotypes with alcohol drinking in UADT cancer risk are described in Table IV. The Arg399Gln polymorphism of *XRCC1* was significantly associated as a protective factor for UADT cancer only among never drinkers with at least one A allele, adopting the dominant genetic model (OR=0.37, 95% CI=0.14 to 0.96, p=0.037). This result remained significant after adjustment by multivariate logistic regression model (OR=0.34, 95% CI 0.11-0.99; p=0.048).

| Variable | Cases n (%) | Controls n (%) | ORa (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -Value | OR ^b (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -Value |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| N-Acetylation phenotype | | | | | | |
| Rapid or intermediate | 53 (52.5) | 90 (63.8) | Ref. | _ | | |
| Slow | 48 (47.5) | 51 (36.2) | 1.60 (0.95-2.69) | 0.076 | 1.92 (1.03-3.57) | 0.038 |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln | | | | | | |
| GG | 69 (59.0) | 112 (53.3) | Ref. | _ | | |
| GA | 39 (33.3) | 86 (41.0) | 0.73 (0.45-1.19) | 0.213 | NS | >0.05 |
| AA | 9 (7.7) | 12 (5.7) | 1.21 (0.48-3.04) | 0.673 | NS | >0.05 |
| GA ou AA | 48 (41.0) | 98 (46.7) | 0.79 (0.50-1.25) | 0.325 | NS | >0.05 |
| G | 177 (75.6) | 310 (73.8) | Ref. | _ | | |
| А | 57 (24.4) | 110 (26.2) | 0.90 (0.63-1.31) | 0.607 | | |
| hOGG1 Ser326Cys | | | | | | |
| CC | 87 (74.4) | 137 (65.6) | Ref. | _ | | |
| CG | 25 (21.4) | 62 (29.7) | 0.63 (0.37-1.06) | 0.096 | NS | >0.05 |
| GG | 5 (4.2) | 10 (4.7) | 0.78 (0.26-2.38) | 0.671 | NS | >0.05 |
| CG ou GG | 30 (25.6) | 72 (34.4) | 0.65 (0.39-1.06) | 0.1 | NS | >0.05 |
| С | 199 (85.0) | 336 (80.4) | Ref. | _ | | |
| G | 35 (15.0) | 82 (19.6) | 0.72 (0.46-1.11) | 0.137 | | |

Table II. Associations between X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 1 (XRCC1) Arg399Gln, human 8-oxoguanine glycosylase 1 (hOGG1) Ser326Cys and the N-acetylation phenotypes and the risk of upper aerodigestive tract (UADT) cancer.

^aOR not adjusted; ^bOR adjusted for age, gender, smoking, drinking and genetic ancestry (PC1 and PC2) by multiple logistic regression; NS: Not significant to enter the logistic model by forward variable selection method.

Discussion

We evaluated 14 *NAT2* SNPs and seven of these (C190T, G363A, A411T, A434C, G499A, C759T and A845C) were monomorphic for the wild-type allele and thus were not included in the analysis of association with UADT. The other *NAT2* SNPs (G191A, C282T, T341C, C481T, G590A, A803G and G857A) were tested for association with UADT. *NAT2* SNP A803G was not found to be in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, and so was not used for the determination of haplotypes.

In the present study, the most frequent haplotypes in both cases and controls were NAT2*4, NAT2*6A and NAT2*5A, which accounted for 75.0% of haplotypes in controls and 81.0% in cases. These data corroborate the study by Talbot et al. (19) in the same population, in which the most frequent haplotype was NAT2*4. These data are also consistent with another study conducted in Latin America (20) that found greater frequency of haplotypes as the NAT2*4 and NAT2*5B. All haplotypes were tested for association with UADT cancer, however, only NAT2*5D was associated with cancer risk. This haplotype is responsible for encoding a slow metabolizing enzyme (21). Several studies in different countries reported association between haplotypes that confer a slow metabolism profile and UADT cancer risk (22-25), suggesting that inefficiency in the elimination of carcinogenic compounds by NAT2 in individuals carrying these haplotypes could increase the risk for these malignancies. However, some studies have not confirmed

these associations, and even opposite results, with genotypes for fast or intermediate acetylation profiles associated as risk factors for UADT cancer, have been described by others (26, 27). These conflicting results suggest that ethnic and geographic factors, in addition to differences in lifestyle between these populations may modify the impact of this polymorphism on the risk of disease. Another factor that can influence these results is the number of SNPs analyzed in the different studies. The selection of SNPs to determine the NAT2 haplotypes is not standardized by researchers, which makes comparisons between different studies difficult. The number of SNPs used to determine the NAT2 haplotypes differs greatly, ranging from one (26) to 13 (27). These data suggest that the use of a greater number of polymorphic SNPs for inference of NAT2 acetylation profiles may be required, particularly in populations where these polymorphisms did not show a pattern of strong linkage disequilibrium.

In association analysis between *NAT2* acetylation profile and UADT cancer, the fast or intermediate acetylator phenotypes were considered as the reference group. There were significant differences for the distribution of these phenotypes between cases and controls. Individuals classified as slow acetylators had a significantly higher risk of developing UADT cancer compared to fast or intermediate acetylators. These data agree with those reported by others, suggesting that the phenotype of *NAT2* based on the metabolic profile is associated with the risk of UADT cancer (22, 23).

| Variable | Cases n (%) | Controls n (%) | ORa (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -Value | OR ^b (95% CI) | p-Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Never smokers | | | | | | |
| N-Acetylation phenotype | | | | | | |
| Rapid or intermediate | 2 (40.0) | 33 (62.3) | Ref. | _ | | |
| Slow | 3 (60.0) | 20 (37.7) | 2.47 (0.38-16.11) | 0.376 | NS | >0.05 |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln | | × , | | | | |
| GG | 5 (83.3) | 51 (53.7) | Ref. | _ | | |
| GA ou AA | 1 (16.7) | 44 (46.3) | 0.23 (0.02-2.06) | 0.222 | NS | >0.05 |
| G | 11 (91.7) | 143 (75.3) | Ref. | _ | | |
| А | 1 (8.3) | 47 (24.7) | 0.27 (0.03-2.20) | 0.301 | | |
| hOGG1 Ser326Cys | | | | | | |
| CC | 5 (83.3) | 61 (66.6) | Ref. | _ | | |
| CG ou GG | 1 (16.7) | 32 (34.4) | 0.38 (0.04-3.40) | 0.66 | NS | >0.05 |
| C | 11 (91.7) | 149 (80.1) | Ref. | _ | | |
| G | 1 (8.3) | 37 (19.9) | 0.36 (0.04-2.92) | 0.468 | | |
| - | - (510) | () | | | | |
| ≤20 Pack-years | | | | | | |
| <i>N</i> -Acetylation phenotype | | | | | | |
| Rapid or intermediate | 17 (53.1) | 23 (74.2) | Ref. | _ | | |
| Slow | 15 (46.9) | 8 (25.8) | 2.53 (0.87-7.34) | 0.082 | 3.70 (1.12-12.19) | 0.032 |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln | | - () | | | | |
| GG | 20 (57.1) | 30 (56.6) | Ref. | _ | | |
| GA or AA | 15 (42.9) | 23 (43.4) | 0.98 (0.41-2.32) | 0.96 | NS | >0.05 |
| G | 53 (75.7) | 78 (73.6) | Ref. | _ | 110 | 10100 |
| A | 17 (24.3) | 28 (26.4) | 0.89 (0.44 -1.79) | 0.751 | | |
| hOGG1 Ser326Cys | 17 (2110) | 20 (2011) | | 01701 | | |
| CC | 29 (82.9) | 34 (63.0) | Ref. | _ | | |
| CG or GG | 6 (17.1) | 20 (37.0) | 0.35 (0.12-0.99) | 0.044 | 0.31 (0.11-0.89) | 0.031 |
| C | 63 (90.0) | 86 (79.6) | Ref. | _ | 0.01 (0.111 0.057) | 0.000 |
| G | 7 (10.0) | 22 (20.4) | 0.43 (0.17-1.06) | 0.067 | | |
| 0 | / (10.0) | 22 (20.7) | 0.17 1.00) | 0.007 | | |
| >20 Pack-years | | | | | | |
| <i>N</i> -Acetylation phenotype | | | | | | |
| Rapid or intermediate | 25 (56.8) | 17 (56.7) | Ref. | _ | | |
| Slow | 19 (43.2) | 13 (43.3) | 0.99 (0.39-2.53) | 0.990 | NS | > 0.05 |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln | | () | (| | | |
| GG | 34 (61.8) | 19 (47.5) | Ref. | _ | | |
| GA or AA | 21 (38.2) | 21 (52.5) | 0.56 (0.24-1.27) | 0.165 | NS | > 0.05 |
| G | 84 (76.4) | 55 (68.8) | Ref. | _ | 110 | . 0.00 |
| A | 26 (23.6) | 25 (31.3) | 0.68 (0.35-1.30) | 0.242 | | |
| hOGG1 Ser326Cys | (,) | | (| | | |
| CC | 38 (69.1) | 25 (62.5) | Ref. | _ | | |
| CG or GG | 17 (30.9) | 15 (37.5) | 0.74 (0.31-1.76) | 0.502 | NS | > 0.05 |
| C | 89 (80.9) | 63 (78.8) | Ref. | - | 110 | - 0.05 |
| G | 21 (19.1) | 17 (21.3) | 0.87 (0.43-1.79) | 0.713 | | |
| | 21 (19.1) | 17 (21.3) | 0.07 (0.40-1.79) | 0./15 | | |

Table III. Stratified associations by categories of smokers between polymorphisms in XRCC1 Arg399Gln, hOGG1 Ser326Cys and N-acetylation phenotypes and UADT cancer risk.

^aOR not adjusted; ^bOR adjusted for age, gender, smoking, drinking and genetic ancestry (PC1 and PC2) by multiple logistic regression; NS: Not significant to enter the logistic model by forward variable selection method

In the present study neither *XRCC1* Arg399Gln nor *hOGG1* Ser326Cys polymorphisms were significantly associated with UADT cancer risk. These results agree with those reported in a meta-analysis published recently that investigated the association between the *XRCC1* Arg399Gln polymorphism and UADT cancer (12). However, two

different meta-analyses have demonstrated the existence of a significant association between the hOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism and UADT cancer (28, 29), in disagreement with that found in the present study.

Analysis of gene-gene interaction were conducted, however no significant results were obtained (data not

| Variables | Cases n (%) | Controls n (%) | ORa (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -Value | OR ^b (95% CI) | <i>p</i> -Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Never drinkers | | | | | | |
| <i>N</i> -acetylation Phenotype | | | | | | |
| Rapid or Intermediate | 13 (52.0) | 48 (72.7) | Ref. | - | | |
| Slow | 12 (48.0) | 18 (27.3) | 2.46 (0.95-6.38) | 0.06 | NS | >0.05 |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln | . , | | × , | | | |
| GG | 20 (74.1) | 49 (51.6) | Ref. | _ | | |
| GA ou AA | 7 (25.9) | 46 (48.4) | 0.37 (0.14 > 0.05 0.96) | 0.037 | 0.34 (0.11-0.99) | 0.048 |
| G | 46 (85.2) | 139 (73.2) | Ref. | _ | . , | |
| А | 8 (14.8) | 51 (26.8) | 0.47 (0.21 > 0.05 1.07) | 0.069 | | |
| hOGG1 Ser326Cys | . , | | | | | |
| CC | 20 (74.1) | 58 (61.1) | Ref. | - | | |
| CG ou GG | 7 (25.9) | 37 (38.9) | 0.55 (0.21 > 0.05 1.42) | 0.214 | NS | >0.05 |
| С | 46 (85.2) | 150 (78.9) | Ref. | _ | | |
| G | 8 (14.8) | 40 (21.1) | $0.65 \ (0.28 > 0.05 \ 1.49)$ | 0.309 | | |
| Ever drinkers | | | | | | |
| <i>N</i> -acetylation Phenotype | | | | | | |
| Rapid or Intermediate | 40 (52.6) | 33 (57.9) | Ref. | _ | | |
| Slow | 36 (47.4) | 24 (42.1) | $1.24 \ (0.62 > 0.05 \ 2.47)$ | 0.546 | NS | >0.05 |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln | . , | | | | | |
| GG | 49 (54.4) | 46 (53.5) | Ref. | _ | | |
| GA ou AA | 41 (45.6) | 40 (46.5) | $0.96 \ (0.53 > 0.05 \ 1.74)$ | 0.899 | NS | >0.05 |
| G | 131 (72.8) | 128 (74.4) | Ref. | _ | | |
| А | 49 (27.2) | 44 (25.6) | $1.07 \ (0.67 > 0.05 \ 1.75)$ | 0.727 | | |
| hOGG1 Ser326Cys | | . / | | | | |
| CC | 67 (74.4) | 60 (69.8) | Ref. | _ | | |
| CG ou GG | 23 (25.6) | 26 (30.2) | $0.79 \ (0.41 > 0.05 \ 1.53)$ | 0.489 | NS | >0.05 |
| С | 153 (85) | 141 (82.0) | Ref. | _ | | |
| G | 27 (15.0) | 31 (18.0) | $0.80 \ (0.45 > 0.05 \ 1.41)$ | 0.445 | | |

Table IV. Stratified associations by categories of alcohol drinkers between polymorphisms in XRCC1 Arg399Gln, hOGG1 Ser326Cys and N-acetylation phenotypes and UADT cancer risk.

^aOR not adjusted; ^bOR adjusted for age, sex, smoking, drinking and genetic ancestry (PC1 and PC2) by multiple logistic regression; NS: Not significant to enter the logistic model by forward variable selection method.

shown). In the analysis of interaction with smoking, carrying a C allele for hOGG1 Ser326Cys was a protective factor against developing UADT among moderate smokers, while the slow acetylation phenotype for NAT2 was significantly associated as a risk factor in this smoking group. Interestingly, carrying an A allele for the XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism was also associated as a protective factor against UADT cancer among never-drinkers. In combination, this result suggests that, similarly to the polymorphisms in bio-transformation genes such as NAT2, variants of the mismatch repair genes could influence susceptibility to cancer development under lower levels of exposure to carcinogens (30). One possible explanation for the protective effect conferred by mutant alleles of these DNA repair genes is that increased levels of DNA damage might increase the level of apoptosis during cell division (5). Thus, the apoptosis pathway would favor a protective effect for the development of cancer among carriers of mutant alleles, since mutations that could give rise to carcinoma have a lower probability of being accumulated in the cell. Furthermore, the A allele of *XRCC1* Arg399Gln was associated as a protective factor for non-melanoma skin cancer (31) and a protective effect of the G allele of *hOGG1*Ser326Cys was shown for UADT (32) and breast (33) cancer. Unlike the significant interaction between *NAT2* and smoking observed in this study, others have reported that this association did not vary across strata of pack-year cigarette smoking (34).

An important limitation of the present study is its small sample size, which leads to a considerable lack of statistical power. Thus, it is possible that our results may be due to chance, as several gene–environment interactions were examined. Therefore, these findings should be considered with caution and need to be confirmed in larger, welldesigned studies.

In conclusion, the haplotype *NAT2**5D, which confers a slow acetylation profile, and slow metabolization phenotype of NAT2 were significantly associated as risk factors for the development of UADT cancer, especially among moderate

smokers. Carrying a G allele for *hOGG1* Ser326Cys was associated with protection against UADT cancer among moderate-smokers. Carrying an A allele for *XRCC1* Arg399Gln polymorphism, in turn, was a protective factor for these types of cancer among never-drinkers. These gene–enviroment interactions suggest that these polymorphisms have a greater effect on the risk of UADT cancer under lower levels of exposure to environmental carcinogens.

Conflicts of Interest

The Authors state no conflict of interest and have received no payment for preparation of this article.

Acknowledgments

Research was supported by grants from the National Council for Research Brazil (CNPq) and the Foundation for Research Support of the Bahia State (FAPESB). We also thank Liane Rossi Ferreira and Ari Paranhos for helping throughout the study, and professor Fernando Ferreira Costa (University of Campinas, Brazil) by technical support for execution of laboratory experiments.

References

- 1 Choong N and Vokes E: Expanding role of the medical oncologist in the management of head and neck cancer. CA Cancer J 58: 32-53, 2008.
- 2 Kato I and Nomura AM: Alcohol in the aetiology of upper aerodigestive tract cancer. Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol *30B*: 75-81, 1994.
- 3 Kato I, Nomura AM, Stemmermann GN and Chyou PH: Prospective study of the association of alcohol with cancer of the upper aerodigestive tract and other sites. Cancer Causes Control *3*: 145-151, 1992.
- 4 Demokan S, Suoglu Y, Gozeler M, Demir D and Dalay N: *N*acetyltransferase 1 and 2 gene sequence variants and risk of head and neck cancer. Mol Biol Rep 37: 3217-3226, 2010.
- 5 Hung RJ, Hall J, Brennan P, and Boffetta P: Genetic polymorphisms in the base excision repair pathway and cancer risk: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol 162: 925-942, 2005.
- 6 Badawi AF, Hirvonen A, Bell DA, Lang NP, and Kadlubar FF: Role of aromatic amine acetyltransferases, NAT1 and NAT2, in carcinogen-DNA adduct formation in the human urinary bladder. Cancer Res 55: 5230-5237, 1995.
- 7 Brockton N, Little J, Sharp L, and Cotton SC: N-acetyltransferase polymorphisms and colorectal cancer: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol 151: 846-861, 2000.
- 8 Hein DW: Acetylator genotype and arylamine-induced carcinogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta *948*: 37-66, 1988.
- 9 Hein DW, McQueen CA, Grant DM, Goodfellow GH, Kadlubar FF and Weber WW: Pharmacogenetics of the arylamine Nacetyltransferases: a symposium in honor of Wendell W. Weber. Drug Metab Dispos 28: 1425-1432, 2000.
- 10 Cascorbi I, Brockmoller J, Mrozikiewicz PM, Bauer S, Loddenkemper R and Roots I: Homozygous rapid arylamine *N*acetyltransferase (*NAT2*) genotype as a susceptibility factor for lung cancer. Cancer Res 56: 3961-3966, 1996.

- 11 Lu AL, Li X, Gu Y, Wright PM and Chang DY: Repair of oxidative DNA damage: mechanisms and functions. Cell Biochem Biophys *35*: 141-170, 2001.
- 12 Yi L, Xiao-Feng H, Yun-Tao L, Hao L, Ye S and Song-Tao Q: Association between the *XRCC1* Arg399Gln Polymorphism and Risk of Cancer: Evidence from 297 Case-Control Studies. PLoS One 8: e78071, 2013.
- 13 Boiteux S and Radicella JP: The human OGG1 gene: structure, functions, and its implication in the process of carcinogenesis. Arch Biochem Biophys *377*: 1-8, 2000.
- 14 Kohno T, Shinmura K, Tosaka M, Tani M, Kim SR, Sugimura H, Nohmi T, Kasai H and Yokota J: Genetic polymorphisms and alternative splicing of the hOGG1 gene, that is involved in the repair of 8-hydroxyguanine in damaged DNA. Oncogene *16*: 3219-3225, 1998.
- 15 Arizono K, Osada Y and Kuroda Y: DNA repair gene hOGG1 codon 326 and XRCC1 codon 399 polymorphisms and bladder cancer risk in a Japanese population. Jpn J Clin Oncol *38*: 186-191, 2008.
- 16 Bonilla C, Shriver MD, Parra EJ, Jones A and Fernandez JR: Ancestral proportions and their association with skin pigmentation and bone mineral density in Puerto Rican women from New York city. Hum Genet *115*: 57-68, 2004.
- 17 R: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Viena: R Development Core Team, 2008. http://www.rproject.org/
- 18 Excoffier L, Laval G and Schneider S: Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinform Online *1*: 47-50, 2005.
- 19 Talbot J, Magno LA, Santana CV, Sousa SM, Melo PR, Correa RX, Di Pietro G and Rios-Santos F: Interethnic diversity of NAT2 polymorphisms in Brazilian admixed populations. BMC genetics 11: 87.
- 20 Fuselli S, Gilman RH, Chanock SJ, Bonatto SL, De Stefano G, Evans CA, Labuda D, Luiselli D, Salzano FM, Soto G, Vallejo G, Sajantila A, Pettener D and Tarazona-Santos E: Analysis of nucleotide diversity of NAT2 coding region reveals homogeneity across Native American populations and high intra-population diversity. The pharmacogenomics journal 7: 144-152, 2007.
- 21 Hein DW: N-acetyltransferase SNPs: emerging concepts serve as a paradigm for understanding complexities of personalized medicine. Expert opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology 5: 353-366, 2009.
- 22 Bendjemana K, Abdennebi M, Gara S, Jmal A, Ghanem A, Touati S, Boussen H, Ladgham A and Guemira F: [Genetic polymorphism of gluthation-S transferases and N-acetyl transferases 2 and nasopharyngeal carcinoma: the Tunisia experience]. Bull Cancer 93: 297-302, 2006 (in French).
- 23 Gajecka M, Rydzanicz M, Jaskula-Sztul R, Kujawski M, Szyfter W and Szyfter K: CYP1A1, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, NAT2, GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms or their combinations are associated with the increased risk of the laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Mutat Res 574: 112-123, 2005.
- 24 Hou YY, Ou HL, Chu ST, Wu PC, Lu PJ, Chi CC, Leung KW, Lee CY, Wu PH, Hsiao M and Ger LP: NAT2 slow acetylation haplotypes are associated with the increased risk of betel quidrelated oral and pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics *112*: 484-492.

- 25 Ying XJ, Dong P, Shen B, Wang J, Wang S and Wang G: Possible association of NAT2 polymorphism with laryngeal cancer risk: an evidence-based meta-analysis. Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology *137*: 1661-1667.
- 26 Marques CF, Koifman S, Koifman RJ, Boffetta P, Brennan P and Hatagima A: Influence of CYP1A1, CYP2E1, GSTM3 and NAT2 genetic polymorphisms in oral cancer susceptibility: results from a case-control study in Rio de Janeiro. Oral oncology 42: 632-637, 2006.
- 27 Buch SC, Nazar-Stewart V, Weissfeld JL and Romkes M: Casecontrol study of oral and oropharyngeal cancer in whites and genetic variation in eight metabolic enzymes. Head Neck 30: 1139-1147, 2008.
- 28 Liu J, Cao XL, Zhang Z, Lei DP, Jin T, Yu XM and Pan XL: HOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism and susceptibility to head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 12: 2491-2494, 2011.
- 29 Wang W, Wang M, Chen Y, Zhang Z, Wang S, Xu M, Wang B and Zhao Q: The hOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism contributes to cancer susceptibility: evidence from 83 case-control studies. Mutagenesis 27: 329-336, 2012.
- 30 Vineis P and Martone T: The role of genetically-based metabolic polymorphisms in human cancer: an ecological study of bladder cancer and N-acetyltransferase in 23 populations. Biotherapy *11*: 201-204, 1998.

- 31 Nelson HH, Kelsey KT, Mott LA and Karagas MR: The XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism, sunburn, and non-melanoma skin cancer: evidence of gene-environment interaction. Cancer Res 62: 152-155, 2002.
- 32 Mitra AK, Singh SV, Garg VK, Sharma M, Chaturvedi R and Rath SK: Protective association exhibited by the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1052133 in the gene human 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (hOGG1) with the risk of squamous cell carcinomas of the head & neck (SCCHN) among north Indians. Indian J Med Res *133*: 605-612, 2011.
- 33 Yuan W, Xu L, Feng Y, Yang Y, Chen W, Wang J, Pang D and Li D: The hOGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat *122*: 835-842, 2010.
- 34 Chen C, Ricks S, Doody DR, Fitzgibbons ED, Porter PL and Schwartz SM: N-Acetyltransferase 2 polymorphisms, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption, and oral squamous cell cancer risk. Carcinogenesis 22: 1993-1999, 2001.

Received January 26, 2014 Revised March 31, 2014 Accepted April 1, 2014