Global Change Biology (2014), doi: 10.1111/gcb.12591

RESEARCH REVIEW

Co-benefits, trade-offs, barriers and policies for greenhouse gas mitigation in the agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU) sector

MERCEDES BUSTAMANTE¹, CARMENZA ROBLEDO-ABAD^{2,3}, RICHARD HARPER⁴, CHEIKH MBOW⁵, NIJAVALLI H. RAVINDRANAT⁶, FRANK SPERLING⁷, HELMUT HABERL^{8,9}, ALEXANDRE DE SIQUEIRA PINTO¹⁰ and PETE SMITH¹¹

¹Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade de Brasília, I.B. C.P. 04457, Campus Universitário Darcy Ribeiro - UnB. D.F. CEP, Brasília 70919-970, Brazil, ²Department of Environmental Systems Science, USYS TdLab, Universitaetstrasse 22, CHN J70.1, Zurich 8092, Switzerland, ³HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation, Maulbeerstr. 10, Bern CH 3001, Switzerland, ⁴School of Veterinary and Life Sciences, Murdoch University, South Street, Murdoch, Western Australia 6150, Australia, ⁵World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Research Unit: GRP5; Office: Room G197, PO Box 30677-00100, Nairobi, Kenya, ⁶Indian Institute of Science Bangalore, Centre for Sustainable Technologies (CST), Bangalore 560 012, India, ⁷Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Change, African Development Bank, Tunis Belvedere B.P. 323 – 1002, Tunisia, ⁸Institute of Social Ecology Vienna (SEC), Alpen-Adria Universitaet (AAU), Schottenfeldgasse 29, Vienna 1070, Austria, ⁹Integrative Research Institute on Transformations of Human-Environment Systems (IRI THESys), Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Friedrichstraße 191, Berlin D-10117, Germany, ¹⁰Departamento de Ecologia, C.C.B.S., Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Cidade Universitária Prof. José Aloísio de Campos, São Cristóvão, Sergipe CEP 49100-000, Brazil, ¹¹Institute of Biological & Environmental Sciences, ClimateXChange and Scottish Food Security Alliance-Crops, University of Aberdeen, 23 St Machar Drive, Aberdeen AB24 3UU, Scotland, UK

Abstract

The agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU) sector is responsible for approximately 25% of anthropogenic GHG emissions mainly from deforestation and agricultural emissions from livestock, soil and nutrient management. Mitigation from the sector is thus extremely important in meeting emission reduction targets. The sector offers a variety of cost-competitive mitigation options with most analyses indicating a decline in emissions largely due to decreasing deforestation rates. Sustainability criteria are needed to guide development and implementation of AFOLU mitigation measures with particular focus on multifunctional systems that allow the delivery of multiple services from land. It is striking that almost all of the positive and negative impacts, opportunities and barriers are context specific, precluding generic statements about which AFOLU mitigation measures have the greatest promise at a global scale. This finding underlines the importance of considering each mitigation strategy on a case-by-case basis, systemic effects when implementing mitigation options on the national scale, and suggests that policies need to be flexible enough to allow such assessments. National and international agricultural and forest (climate) policies have the potential to alter the opportunity costs of specific land uses in ways that increase opportunities or barriers for attaining climate change mitigation goals. Policies governing practices in agriculture and in forest conservation and management need to account for both effective mitigation and adaptation and can help to orient practices in agriculture and in forestry towards global sharing of innovative technologies for the efficient use of land resources. Different policy instruments, especially economic incentives and regulatory approaches, are currently being applied however, for its successful implementation it is critical to understand how land-use decisions are made and how new social, political and economic forces in the future will influence this process.

Keywords: AFOLU, agriculture, climate, ecosystem service, food security, forestry, GHG, mitigation

Received 10 January 2014 and accepted 20 March 2014

Introduction

The agriculture, forestry and other land use sector (AF-OLU) includes mitigation activities in agriculture and livestock, as well as in forestry. Mitigation options in

Correspondence: P. Smith, tel. +44 (0)1224 272702, fax +44 (0)1224 272703, e-mail: pete.smith@abdn.ac.uk

the sector can be seen from the supply side (see Table 1); as well as from the demand side (e.g. changes in human behaviour towards less emission-intensive products or reduced losses in the food supply chain) (Smith *et al.*, 2013b). Since the publication of the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), there have been a few new estimates of the greenhouse gas mitigation